

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,348	02/23/2004	Katsuyuki Nakano	4775-00006 2313	
Joseph J. Jochman ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP Suite 1100 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202-4178			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CAM N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/784,348	NAKANO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cam N. Nguyen	1754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/26</u>	S/07 (an amendment/resnonse)				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
		application				
	Claim(s) 1-10,14 and 15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-10 & 14-15</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	·	diconon requirement.				
_	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<u> </u>	* *			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1754

!عَسَيَّ

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendments and remarks, filed on 02/26/07, have been made of record and entered. Claims 1, 7, & 10 have been amended. Claims 11-13 have been canceled. Claims 14-15 have been added.

Claims 1-10 & 14-15 are currently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 (Second Paragraph)

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7 & 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A. Regarding claim 7, it is unclear as to whether the claim requires the catalyst to contain "platinum" at the claimed amount or the claimed amount being referred to any metal chosen from the metals listed in claim 6? Claim 6 listed "platinum" among four metals Pt, Rh, Ru, and Ni. If the claim required "platinum" as the catalyst metal then the way the claim is written is improper.
- B. Regarding claim 14, the phrase "cutting bonds in a raft-shaped" is unclear as to what applicants intend. Thus, renders the claim vague and indefinite.

Art Unit: 1754

C. Regarding claim 15, the claim is incomplete. It does not particularly point what process step and condition is required in the claim. Thus, it renders the claim vague and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibi et al., "hereinafter Hibi", (US Pat. 6,852,667 B2) *taken together with* Deeba et al., "hereinafter Deeba", (US Pat. 5,145,825).

In the reference, Hibi discloses that it is known in the art to prepare a supported metal ruthenium catalyst by supporting ruthenium chloride on a carrier, drying the supported one, and heating the dried on in a hydrogen gas flow. Further, oxidizing a catalyst reduced by hydrogen. See col. 3, ln 56-65 of the reference. Suitable carrier materials including titania (see col. 36, ln 30-36).

Hibi discloses a known process of preparing a catalyst as described above, except for the titania supported metal carried on a carrier (or silica).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate such known silica carrier into the process of Hibi in order to achieve a coated catalyst material containing ruthenium oxide support on titania carried on silica having

Art Unit: 1754

improved in mechanical strength because it is known in Deeba to do so (see Deeba at col. 9, claim 1).

The claimed titania content is met by the teaching of the reference (see Hibi at col. 90, claim 1).

Regarding claim 2-5 & 10, the claimed process conditions and the requirement of the use of titanium tetraalkoxide and alcohol by hydrosis to prepare titanium precursor and impregnate into the carrier appears conventional and known in the catalyst art. Thus, the claims are inherently met.

Regarding claim 7, while the Hibi reference does not specifically teach "platinum" and the claimed concentration, it is obvious to substitute the ruthenium metal with the platinum metal to obtain an effective catalyst because platinum is a known and useful catalytic active metal in the catalyst art. The optimum concentration of the platinum can also be predetermined to result in effective catalyst in such process because it is a result effective variable, in view of *In re Boesch*.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

6. Applicants' response filed on February 26, 2007 has been fully considered, but not deemed persuasive in view of the new ground of rejection(s) and/or objection(s) above.

Citations

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All references are cited for related art. See PTO-892 Form prepared attached.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,348

Art Unit: 1754

Conclusion

Page 5

Claims 1-10 & 14-15 are pending. Claims 1-10 & 14-15 are rejected. No claims are 8.

allowed.

Contacts

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone number is

571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM, at

alternative work site.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cam N. Nguyen/

Nguyen/cnn

Primary Examiner

May 26, 2007

Art Unit: 1754